

**ScienceDirect** 



# The promise of awake behaving infant fMRI as a deep measure of cognition

Tristan S Yates, Cameron T Ellis and Nicholas B Turk-Browne



What are the contents of the infant mind? In the last decade, computational advances in fMRI have allowed researchers access to the internal representations of adults. Applied similarly in infants, fMRI stands to revolutionize our understanding of cognitive development. By measuring representations at their source, infant fMRI overcomes some of the limitations of behavioral measures. We discuss example domains where this approach could be fruitful, including episodic memory, semantic cognition, spatial representations, and theory of mind. In these and other areas, the richness of fMRI data could give new insight into how infants represent the world and potentially help resolve ongoing debates in developmental science.

#### **Address**

Department of Psychology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

Corresponding author: Turk-Browne, Nicholas B ([nicholas.turk-browne@yale.edu\)](mailto:nicholas.turk-browne@yale.edu)

Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences 2020, 40:xx-yy

This review comes from a themed issue on Deep imaging — personalized neuroscience

Edited by Caterina Gratton and Rodrigo Martin Braga

#### <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2020.11.007>

2352-1546/ã 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# Introduction

Psychologists have developed tools to measure infant cognition through behavior, revolutionizing our understanding of the infant mind. Despite this progress, however, the infant mind remains difficult to decipher, in part because of limitations in infant behavior. In this review, we consider an approach to understanding the infant mind that has recently gained traction: functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in awake infants performing tasks. fMRI is uniquely positioned to extract rich, multifaceted information about infant cognition. We discuss our framework for deeply measuring infant cognition. We then consider how awake infant fMRI can reveal internal states that may not cleanly manifest in infant behavior, and may help resolve extant debates in the developmental literature. Altogether, we hope to

highlight the value of using awake infant fMRI for understanding deeper aspects of the infant mind.

# A deep measure of infant cognition

Given the constraints of the behavioral repertoire of infants — an inability to speak, understand complex instructions, execute complex actions, etc. — researchers have developed clever measures of cognition, such as looking-time duration [[1\]](#page-4-0), pupillometry [[2\]](#page-4-0), head-turn preferences [[3\]](#page-4-0), reaching [\[4](#page-4-0)], and locomoting [\[5](#page-4-0)]. However, it is increasingly evident that when the goal is to index infants' mental states, reliance on one simple behavioral measure can be problematic  $[6\degree]$ . Consider showing an infant two images and asking them: 'where is the kitty?' [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1). From behavior alone, it can be difficult to ascertain whether infants represent the meaning of the word *kitty*. To help resolve this issue, neuroimaging techniques such as electroencephalography (EEG) and functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) [\[7](#page-4-0)] have increasingly been utilized in infant research. These techniques provide a powerful window into the dynamics and representations of the infant mind.

Here, we focus instead on fMRI, a technique that is emerging as a way to study infant cognition but has a long and successful history in helping understand child, adolescent, and adult cognition [\[9\]](#page-4-0). Although MRI and fMRI are commonly used for understanding the structure and resting connectivity of sleeping infants [[7](#page-4-0)], fMRI in awake infants performing tasks is the most direct way to study infant cognition. As a complex and rich data source, fMRI can tap into internal representations that may otherwise be overlooked in behavior and that may not be apparent in scalp-based measurements from EEG and fNIRS. In the last decade, computational advances [[10](#page-4-0)] have also made 'mind-reading' a reality with fMRI. Researchers can construct models to decode what an individual is seeing [[11](#page-4-0)], predicting [\[12](#page-4-0)], and recalling [\[13\]](#page-4-0). Additionally, the high spatial resolution of fMRI allows for indexing multiple representations during the same task, such as what someone is simultaneously seeing and predicting [[14](#page-4-0)]. This is particularly promising for extracting multiple cognitively relevant functions during complex stimuli such as movies [\[15\]](#page-4-0). For developmental research, these naturalistic stimuli [\[16,17](#page-4-0)] may be especially important for simultaneously tapping into multiple cognitive functions without requiring multiple experiments.

# Initial discoveries

So far, only three studies that used fMRI in awake infants during cognitive tasks have been published [[18–20\]](#page-4-0). The

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



Ambiguity of behavioral measures given internal mental states. An infant is tested on their word knowledge. A parent or experimenter prompts the infant to look at the 'kitty' while showing a picture of a cat and a dog. (a) The infant correctly looks at the cat picture. It is assumed, especially based on performance aggregated across trials, that this behavior is possible because the infant has a mental representation of the word or concept kitty that was matched to the picture of the cat. If true, behavior is an accurate measure of cognition. However, this same behavior can occur with an entirely different mental substrate. (b) For instance, the behavior could be driven by the cat being more attractive, familiar, unusual, having pointy ears, whiskers, or a long tail, etc. Or, the shape of the cat may intrinsically be a better match to the phonological features of the word 'kitty' without any real comprehension [\[8](#page-4-0)]. In these cases, the behavior is attributed to the wrong cognitive process - semantic/conceptual rather than perceptual or linguistic. (c) Conversely, the infant may possess the appropriate mental representation but lack the motivation or ability to exert an interpretable behavioral response. Hence, by accessing an infant's mental representation more directly, it may be possible to circumvent the ambiguity of infant behavior.

first study was fortuitous: while investigating speech processing in sleeping 3-month-olds, six infants remained awake and attentive. Speech processing was evident in auditory regions of all infants, with sensical and nonsensical speech distinguished in the angular gyrus. However, only in awake infants was the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex also involved in processing sensical speech [\[18](#page-4-0)]. This suggested that the prefrontal cortex, thought to be minimally functional in infancy, may play a larger role in early development than previously assumed [[21\]](#page-4-0). The second and third studies examined visual processing. In 7-week-olds, functional responses to motion were adultlike in anatomical localization, but differences in early visual cortex suggested some later refinement of motion processing [[19\]](#page-4-0).In 6-month-olds, the functional responses evoked by faces and scenes were localized to similar regions as adults. However, these regions were less selective to their preferred category than in adults [\[20](#page-4-0)]. Together, these pioneering studies demonstrate the feasibility of awake infant fMRI for revealing previously unknown properties of the infant mind.

Ensuring that infants are awake, still, and attentive long enough to collect adequate data are some of the many challenges of this work. Over the last several years, our lab has developed a new protocol for awake infant fMRI [[22](#page-4-0)<sup>...</sup>] ]. To minimize movement, infants are positioned on a vacuum pillow within reach of a parent or experimenter. The comfort and state of the infant are monitored continuously via a camera in the scanner bore. The infant's face can be seen because only the bottom half of the head coil is used; it provides whole-brain coverage and reasonable signal-to-noise, given the smaller head size. Removing the top half of the head coil also allows good visibility by the infant of stimuli projected onto the bore ceiling above their face. The code that runs the experimental tasks is robust and flexible, allowing for breaks and switching between tasks. Together, these methods have enabled us to collect fMRI data from almost 200 sessions to date with an average success rate of one usable experiment per session (some infants have none, others complete 3–4 tasks). Several studies of infant perception, attention, learning, and memory are ongoing. For example, we have shown that key regions of adult attention networks, including in the frontal lobe, are recruited to orient infant attention, as measured behaviorally with eye movements [[23\]](#page-5-0). Additionally, we have found that the infant hippocampus can quickly learn regularities from the environment, despite this memory system often being considered immature in infancy [[24\]](#page-5-0). These initial steps highlight how fMRI has the potential to fundamentally change our understanding of early development. Below we explore the distinct advantages of fMRI as a deeper method to understand the infant mind.

## Unpacking behavior

Given the potential ambiguity of infant behavior, developmental researchers carefully design studies to control for alternative explanations. Nonetheless, debates remain over whether certain behavioral outcomes can be explained by alternative accounts that were not considered. Furthermore, partial or failed replications suggest that additional measures could help resolve the cognitive capacities of infants [\[25](#page-5-0)]. While fMRI is valuable for a number of cognitive domains, it holds particular promise in understanding the characteristics of infant episodic memory, semantic knowledge, how they represent space, and how they reason about other minds.

## Episodic memory

Early development is a period of immense learning, yet specific memories of experience obtained during infancy do not persist over time. This phenomena, called infantile amnesia, continues to be a developmental puzzle [[26](#page-5-0) ]. Despite illuminating behavioral research on the memory capacities of infants [\[27](#page-5-0)], it remains unclear which phases of the episodic memory process — encoding, consolidation, and/or retrieval contribute to memory failures [[28\]](#page-5-0). In adults, certain regions of the brain, such as the hippocampus, are associated with subsequent recall [\[29](#page-5-0)]. Evidence that memory retrieval in the hippocampus relates to later explicit memory was also shown recently with fMRI in sleeping toddlers [\[30](#page-5-0),[31](#page-5-0) ].

fMRI with awake infants provides an additional opportunity to measure behavior and brain states simultaneously to understand how memory processes are supported in the infant brain. Combining fMRI and eye-tracking can answer a fundamental question in developmental science: namely, how does memory recall relate to looking behavior? When an infant is shown a stimulus that they have seen before along with a new stimulus, they sometimes look longer at the familiar stimulus and other times look longer at the novel stimulus [[32](#page-5-0)]. Such novelty preferences have been hypothesized to reflect more complete encoding of prior viewings, whereas familiarity preferences may result from impoverished representations [[33](#page-5-0),[34](#page-5-0)]. The ability of fMRI to access internal states provides a direct way to measure memory integrity by comparing the similarity of a representation when it is first encoded and when it is retrieved [[35](#page-5-0)], which can in turn be related to looking-time measures. Moreover, it may reveal that novelty and familiarity preferences do not reflect two sides of the same learning process, but rather the control of attention by multiple memory traces [[36\]](#page-5-0).

fMRI additionally makes it possible to track how representations change over delay and development, which may be important to understanding the nature of infantile amnesia. This could be accomplished without an explicit or verbal retrieval task, for example, by measuring the reinstatement of patterns of neural activity from encoding during subsequent experience, rest, or sleep [\[37](#page-5-0)]. Thus, early episodic memory could be assessed by showing infants videos of complex, dynamic scenes during fMRI and testing if they later recall these memories neurally when cued. By targeting different aspects of the memory, this approach makes it possible to distinguish specific computations underlying episodic memory that have been measured with fMRI in adults, such as pattern separation, pattern completion, and relational binding [[12](#page-4-0)]. These computations are difficult to assess behaviorally [[38\]](#page-5-0), and in adults rely on deep-brain structures like the hippocampus that are inaccessible to other techniques such as EEG and fNIRS. Thus, fMRI is a promising way to investigate the neural mechanisms of infant episodic memory.

### Language and semantic networks

Although almost a year passes before infants produce their first words, they are processing speech even while in the womb [\[39](#page-5-0)]. They can understand some concrete words by 6 months [\[40](#page-5-0)] and can segment words from continuous speech streams by 8 months [\[41](#page-5-0)]. However, infants' understanding of semantic relationships between words is hard to measure, and the story of conceptual development often begins in young childhood [[42\]](#page-5-0). In adults, co-occurrences in large text corpora can be used to accurately predict fMRI activity to concrete words [[43\]](#page-5-0) and abstract concepts [\[44](#page-5-0)]. Models could similarly be constructed using early language corpora [\[45](#page-5-0),[46\]](#page-5-0) and compared to neural representations of words in the infant brain. Alternatively, infant semantic space could be determined in a more data-driven way through representational similarity analysis, using the similarity of neural patterns evoked by words to infer their semantic relatedness. Representational similarity in some regions of the brain may be governed by shared perceptual features of the words themselves (e.g. book and boot) or of their referents (e.g. milk and juice), whereas other regions may represent semantic features divorced from perceptual features (e.g. book and table; milk and refrigerator), as has been found in adults [[47](#page-5-0) ]. The high spatial resolution of fMRI, unique for non-invasive neuroimaging, may be especially important for distinguishing these representational spaces.

Importantly, a task with behavioral responses is also not required to study the semantic representations of infants with fMRI. Indeed, from task-free movie-watching alone, researchers can construct a semantic space [[48\]](#page-5-0) and provide a text caption of the current scene [\[49](#page-5-0)]. This makes it possible to investigate infant conceptual knowledge longitudinally using a consistent paradigm. In this way, fMRI could demonstrate how the semantic network grows as infants acquire new word knowledge [[50\]](#page-5-0).

## Navigating space

Infants appear to have a rich understanding of the social and physical world. Geometric and spatial relations are one of the building blocks of this understanding. By 5 months, infants are surprised when objects disappear and reappear in different spatial locations [\[51\]](#page-5-0), and by 9 months, infants correctly look at goal locations when starting from different positions [\[52](#page-5-0)]. In the brain, rodent studies have shown that the infant hippocampus and entorhinal cortex code for places and directions during navigation through an environment [\[53](#page-5-0)]. At the same time, human infants can show relational errors, such as an inability to find objects when turned around [\[54](#page-5-0)]. Indeed, many aspects of spatial processing, such as coding metric distance and spatial perspective-taking, develop slowly [55 ]. Hence, it remains unclear how [precisely](#page-5-0) infants can represent space, which is especially hard to investigate before infants develop locomotor capacities.

fMRI with awake infants could be used to test early spatial representations by examining relevant brain systems identified in adults [\[56](#page-5-0)]. The spatial layout of a scene (e.g. the presence and arrangement of walls) is represented in the occipital place area in a way that is invariant to texture and other visual properties [[57](#page-5-0)]. Meanwhile, the category of a place (e.g. a coffee shop) is represented in the parahippocampal place area regardless of real-world location or proximity [\[58](#page-5-0)]. Broader maps of an environment are represented in entorhinal cortex and the hippocampus, with neural pattern similarity higher when viewing photographs of landmarks that are closer together in the real world [[59\]](#page-5-0). Notably, several of these brain regions are medial, ventral, and/or subcortical, and thus cannot be directly measured with scalpbased techniques. Whether human infants navigate space with place and grid representations in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, respectively, is unknown but also potentially addressable with fMRI and virtual reality [[60](#page-5-0)]. Although adults control their own navigation in most of these studies, viewing movement through space may be sufficient.

## Theory of mind and false beliefs

The ability to represent that another person has a different belief than you or that their belief conflicts with reality was initially thought to develop around 4 years [\[61](#page-5-0)]. Recent studies have shown that infants can in fact possess such theory of mind, including predicting and representing the goals of others [\[62](#page-6-0)]. At the same time, there have been several failures to replicate infant false belief studies [[63\]](#page-6-0). These discrepancies led to two accounts of infant theory of mind: The *continuous* account posits that infants represent the beliefs of others in a similar way to older children [\[64](#page-6-0)]. Early failures on certain tests of theory of mind, such as language-heavy tasks, are thought to reflect limitations in executive function [\[65](#page-6-0) ]. The alternative *two-system* account proposes that there is both an early developing, implicit system and a later developing, explicit system [\[66](#page-6-0)]. This account distinguishes between explicit tasks, in which participants need to demonstrate an understanding that what somebody else believes to be true can differ from their own knowledge, and implicit tasks, in which participants look longer when someone performs an action that is inconsistent with their knowledge or show anticipatory looking consistent with the other's belief. Success on implicit theory of mind tasks may not always result from representing another person as agentic, as much as using perceptual cues, which has been used to explain the performance of other species [[67\]](#page-6-0).

These two accounts make different predictions for the internal representations of infants. According to the continuous account, the same brain regions and patterns of activity should be recruited during theory of mind tasks in <span id="page-4-0"></span>infancy as later in development [\[68](#page-6-0)]. For example, naturalistic viewing of a movie that evokes theory of mind induces similar activity in the temporoparietal junction between adults and children, and between young children who do and do not pass a battery of theory of mind tests [69 ]. [Importantly,](#page-6-0) fMRI has the resolution to distinguish the temporoparietal junction and the nearby superior temporal sulcus, which is involved in social perception but also many other functions [\[70](#page-6-0)], whereas other techniques lack this precision. According to the two-system account, there should be a dissociation between early and later development in terms of the nature or localization of representations related to theory of mind. In preschoolers, success on implicit and explicit theory of mind tasks is neurally dissociated in terms of brain structure [\[71](#page-6-0)], fitting with previous work showing a behavioral dissociation [[72\]](#page-6-0). Investigations in infants could provide further insight into whether theory of mind is quantitatively or qualitatively different across development.

## Conclusion

Awake infant fMRI allows for a deeper characterization of cognition and development by revealing representations that may not be behaviorally expressed or that are inaccessible to other neuroimaging techniques, and by distinguishing between mechanisms that could jointly drive behavior under different circumstances. The combination of fMRI as a sensitive tool with advanced data analysis methods has dramatically accelerated progress in cognitive neuroscience over recent years. Our hope is that importing these approaches from adult cognitive neuroscience into the study of infant cognition could likewise advance the field and unlock mysteries of how the infant mind functions and develops.

#### Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funding from the James S McDonnell Foundation 21st Century Science Initiative Understanding Human Cognition Opportunity Award [\(10.37717/2020-1208\)](http://10.37717/2020-1208) and the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research to NBT-B, and the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program to TSY.

#### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Sim ZL, Xu F: Another look at looking time: [surprise](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0005) as rational [statistical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0005) inference. Top Cogn Sci 2019, 11:154-163.
- 2. Zhang F, Emberson LL: Using [pupillometry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0010) to investigate predictive [processes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0010) in infancy. Infancy 2020, 25:758-780.
- 3. Junge C, [Everaert](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0015) E, Porto L, Fikkert P, de Klerk M, Keij B, Benders T: Contrasting behavioral looking [procedures:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0015) a case

study on infant speech [segmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0015). Infant Behav Dev 2020, 60[:101448.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0015)

- 4. Cuevas K, Bell MA: [Developmental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0020) progression of looking and reaching [performance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0020) on the A-not-B task. Dev Psychol 2010, 46[:1363-1371.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0020)
- 5. Adolph KE: Oh, behave! Infancy 2020, 25[:374-392.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0025)
- 6. .. LoBue V, [Reider](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0030) LB, Kim E, Burris JL, Oleas DS, Buss KA, Pérez-Edgar K, Field AP: The [importance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0030) of using multiple outcome [measures](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0030) in infant research. Infancy 2020, 25:420-437

Describes initial studies of infant threat detection and how the introduction of new behavioral measures converged on a richer story of threat bias development. Discusses the advantages of using multiple measures in infant research to better understand developmental processes.

- 7. Azhari A, Truzzi A, Neoh MJY, [Balagtas](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0035) JPM, Tan HH, Goh PP, Ang XA, Setoh P, Rigo P, [Bornstein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0035) MH, Esposito G: A decade of infant [neuroimaging](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0035) research: what have we learned and where are we going? Infant Behav Dev 2020, 58[:101389.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0035)
- 8. Fort M, Lammertink I, Peperkamp S, [Guevara-Rukoz](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0040) A, Fikkert P, Tsuji S: Symbouki, a [meta-analysis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0040) on the emergence of sound symbolism in early language [acquisition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0040). Dev Sci 2018, 21: [e12659.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0040)
- 9. Ellis CT, [Turk-Browne](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0045) NB: Infant fMRI: a model system for cognitive [neuroscience](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0045). Trends Cogn Sci 2018, 22:375-387.
- 10. Cohen JD, Daw N, [Engelhardt](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0050) B, Hasson U, Li K, Niv Y, Norman KA, Pillow J, Ramadge PJ, [Turk-Browne](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0050) NB, Willke TL: [Computational](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0050) approaches to fMRI analysis. Nat Neurosci 2017, 20[:304-313.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0050)
- 11. O'Connell TP, Chun MM: Predicting eye [movement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0055) patterns from fMRI [responses](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0055) to natural scenes. Nat Commun 2018, 9[:5159.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0055)
- 12. Hindy NC, Ng FY, [Turk-Browne](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0060) NB: Linking pattern completion in the [hippocampus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0060) to predictive coding in visual cortex. Nat Neurosci 2016, 19[:665-667.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0060)
- 13. St-Laurent M, Abdi H, [Buchsbaum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0065) BR: Distributed patterns of reactivation predict vividness of [recollection](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0065). J Cogn Neurosci 2015, 27[:2000-2018.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0065)
- 14. Sherman BE, [Turk-Browne](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0070) NB: Statistical prediction of the future impairs episodic [encoding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0070) of the present. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020, 117[:22760-22770.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0070)
- 15. Yates TS, Ellis CT, [Turk-Browne](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0075) NB: Emergence and [organization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0075) of adult brain function throughout child [development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0075). NeuroImage 2020. (in press).
- 16. Nastase SA, Goldstein A, Hasson U: Keep it real: [rethinking](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0080) the primacy of experimental control in cognitive [neuroscience](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0080). [Neuroimage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0080) 2020, 222:117254.
- 17. Vanderwal T, Eilbott J, [Castellanos](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0085) FX: Movies in the magnet: naturalistic paradigms in [developmental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0085) functional [neuroimaging](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0085). Dev Cogn Neurosci 2019, 36:100600.
- 18. [Dehaene-Lambertz](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0090) G, Dehaene S, Hertz-Pannier L: Functional [neuroimaging](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0090) of speech perception in infants. Science 2002, 298[:2013-2015.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0090)
- 19. Biagi L, Crespi SA, Tosetti M, Morrone MC: BOLD [response](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0095) selective to [flow-motion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0095) in very young infants. PLOS Biol 2015, 13[:e1002260.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0095)
- 20. Deen B, [Richardson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0100) H, Dilks DD, Takahashi A, Keil B, Wald LL, Kanwisher N, Saxe R: [Organization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0100) of high-level visual cortex in human infants. Nat [Commun](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0100) 2017, 8:13995.
- 21. Raz G, Saxe R: Learning in infancy is active, [endogenously](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0105) [motivated,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0105) and depends on the prefrontal cortices. Annu Rev Dev Psychol 2020, 2[:11.1-11.22.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0105)
- 22. Ellis CT, [Skalaban](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0110) LJ, Yates TS, Bejjanki VR, Córdova NI, Turk- $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ Browne NB: [Re-imagining](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0110) fMRI for awake behaving infants. Nat [Commun](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0110) 2020, 11:4523

Demonstrates the feasibility of infant fMRI by exploring pre-processing decisions and evaluating data quantity and quality across two cohorts of infant participants, with data that have been made publicly available. Provides two new software packages and detailed methods for collecting fMRI data from awake behaving infants.

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>23. Ellis CT, Skalaban LJ, Yates TS, Turk-Browne NB: Attention recruits frontal cortex in human infants. bioRxiv 2020 [http://dx.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.340216) [doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.340216.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.14.340216)
- 24. Ellis CT, Skalaban LJ, Yates TS, Bejjanki VR, Córdova NI, Turk-Browne NB: Evidence of hippocampal learning in human infants. bioRxiv 2020 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.07.329862) [2020.10.07.329862](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.07.329862).
- 25. Frank MC, Bergelson E, [Bergmann](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0125) C, Cristia A, Floccia C, Gervain J, Hamlin JK, [Hannon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0125) EE, Kline M, Levelt C et al.: A [collaborative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0125) approach to infant research: promoting **reproducibility, best practices, and [theory-building](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0125)**. Infancy<br>2017, **22**[:421-435.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0125)
- 26. Ramsaran AI, [Schlichting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0130) ML, Frankland PW: The ontogeny of  $\bullet$ memory [persistence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0130) and specificity. Dev Cogn Neurosci 2018, 36[:100591](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0130)

Reviews the literature of infantile amnesia in humans and nonhuman animals. Provides neural explanations for why memories persist and can be generalized in nonhuman animals, citing the potential to investigate such processes in human infants with fMRI.

- 27. Bauer PJ, Souci PS, Pathman T: Infant memory. Wiley [Interdiscip](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0135) Rev Cogn Sci 2010, 1[:267-277.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0135)
- 28. Alberini CM, Travaglia A: Infantile [amnesia:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0140) a critical period of learning to learn and remember. J Neurosci 2017, 37[:5783-5795.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0140)
- 29. Koch GE, Paulus JP, [Coutanche](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0145) MN: Neural patterns are more similar across individuals during [successful](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0145) memory encoding than during failed memory [encoding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0145). Cereb Cortex 2020, 30[:3872-3883.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0145)
- 30. Prabhakar J, Johnson EG, Nordahl CW, Ghetti S: [Memory-related](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0150) [hippocampal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0150) activation in the sleeping toddler. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 2018, **115**[:6500-6505.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0150)
- 31. Johnson EG, Prabhakar J, Mooney LN, Ghetti S: [Neuroimaging](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0155)
- $\bullet$ the sleeping brain: insight on memory [functioning](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0155) in infants and [toddlers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0155). Infant Behav Dev 2020, 58:101427

Reviews behavioral tasks typically used to study infant memory and shows evidence for how sleep fMRI can inform early memory function. Discusses questions that can be answered with fMRI during both sleep and wakefulness in infants.

- 32. Aslin RN: [What's](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0160) in a look? Dev Sci 2007, 10:48-53.
- 33. Hunter MA, Ames EW: A multifactor model of infant [preferences](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0165) for novel and familiar stimuli. In [Advances](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0165) in Infancy Research,, vol 5. Edited by [Rovee-Collier](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0165) C, Lipsitt LP. Ablex Publishing; 1988:69-95.
- 34. [Turk-Browne](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0170) NB, Scholl BJ, Chun MM: Babies and brains: habituation in infant cognition and functional [neuroimaging](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0170). Front Hum [Neurosci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0170) 2008, 2:16.
- 35. Lee SH, Kravitz DJ, Baker CI: Differential [representations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0175) of perceived and retrieved visual information in [hippocampus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0175) and cortex. Cereb Cortex 2019, 29[:4452-4461.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0175)
- 36. Hutchinson JB, Turk-Browne NB: [Memory-guided](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0180) attention: control from multiple memory [systems](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0180). Trends Cogn Sci 2012, 16[:576-579.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0180)
- 37. Schapiro AC, [McDevitt](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0185) EA, Rogers TT, Mednick SC, Norman KA: Human [hippocampal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0185) replay during rest prioritizes weakly learned information and predicts memory [performance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0185). Nat [Commun](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0185) 2018, 9:3920.
- 38. Molitor RJ, Ko PC, Hussey EP, Ally BA: [Memory-related](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0190) eye [movements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0190) challenge behavioral measures of pattern completion and pattern separation. [Hippocampus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0190) 2014, 24[:666-672.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0190)
- 39. DeCasper AJ, Spence MJ: Prenatal maternal speech [influences](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0195) newborns' [perception](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0195) of speech sounds. Infant Behav Dev 1986, 9[:133-150.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0195)
- 40. [Bergelson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0200) E, Aslin RN: Nature and origins of the lexicon in 6 mo-olds. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114[:12916-12921.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0200)
- 41. Santolin C, Saffran JR: [Constraints](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0205) on statistical learning across [species](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0205). Trends Cogn Sci 2018, 22:52-63.
- 42. Wojcik EH: The development of [lexical-semantic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0210) networks in infants and toddlers. Child Dev [Perspect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0210) 2018, 12:34-38.
- 43. Mitchell TM, [Shinkareva](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0215) SV, Carlson A, Chang KM, Malave VL, Mason RA, Just MA: Predicting human brain activity [associated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0215) with the meanings of nouns. Science 2008, 320[:1191-1195.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0215)
- 44. Pereira F, Lou B, Pritchett B, Ritter S, Gershman SJ, [Kanwisher](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0220) N,<br>Botvinick M, [Fedorenko](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0220) E: Toward a universal decoder of linguistic meaning from brain [activation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0220). Nat Commun 2018, 9[:963.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0220)
- 45. Sanchez A, Meylan SC, Braginsky M, [MacDonald](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0225) KE, Yurovsky D, Frank MC: childes-db: a flexible and [reproducible](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0225) interface to the child language data [exchange](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0225) system. Behav Res Methods 2019, 51[:1928-1941.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0225)
- 46. Fourtassi A, Bian Y, Frank MC: The growth of [children's](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0230) semantic and [phonological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0230) networks: insight from 10 [languages](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0230). Cogn Sci 2020, 44:e12847.
- 47.  $\cdot$ Bauer AJ, Just MA: Brain reading and [behavioral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0235) methods provide [complementary](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0235) perspectives on the representation of concepts. [Neuroimage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0235) 2019, 186:794-805

Investigates the relationship between behavioral judgments and fMRI representations of animal concepts in adults. Finds that patterns of fMRI activation across the brain reflect semantic relatedness in terms animal properties, which can be used to classify animals. Shows that behavioral judgments, in contrast, reflect taxonomic properties, resulting in a modest correlation between brain and behavior.

- Huth AG, Nishimoto S, Vu AT, Gallant JL: A [continuous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0240) semantic space describes the [representation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0240) of thousands of object and action [categories](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0240) across the human brain. Neuron 2012, 76[:1210-1224.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0240)
- 49. Vodrahalli K, Chen PH, Liang Y, [Baldassano](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0245) C, Chen J, Yong E, Honey C, Hasson U, [Ramadge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0245) P, Norman KA, Arora S: Mapping between fMRI [responses](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0245) to movies and their natural language [annotations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0245). Neuroimage 2018, 180:223-231.
- 50. Bergelson E: The [comprehension](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0250) boost in early word learning:<br>older infants are better learners. Child Dev [Perspect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0250) 2020, 14[:142-149.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0250)
- 51. Newcombe N, [Huttenlocher](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0255) J, Learmonth A: Infants' coding of location in [continuous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0255) space. Infant Behav Dev 1999, 22:483- [510.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0255)
- 52. Lew AR, Bremner JG, Lefkovitch LP: The [development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0260) of relational landmark use in six- to [twelve-month-old](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0260) infants in a spatial orientation task. Child Dev 2000, 71[:1179-1190.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0260)
- 53. Wills TJ, Cacucci F, Burgess N, O'Keefe J: [Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0265) of the [hippocampal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0265) cognitive map in preweanling rats. Science 2010, 328[:1573-1576.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0265)
- 54. Bremner JG, Bryant PE: Place versus [response](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0270) as the basis of spatial errors made by young infants. J Exp Child [Psychol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0270) 1977, 23[:162-171.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0270)
- 55. Newcombe NS: Navigation and the [developing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0275) brain. J Exp Biol 2019, 222[:jeb186460](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0275)

• 2019, 222:jeb186460<br>Reviews spatial development and navigation, highlighting both early skills and protracted improvements in spatial processing. Briefly discusses the debate between an innate module for spatial reorientation present at birth, and the influence of learning and skill acquisition on spatial development.

- 56. Epstein RA, Baker CI: Scene [perception](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0280) in the human brain.<br>Annu Rev Vis Sci 2019, 5[:373-397.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0280)
- 57. Henriksson L, Mur M, [Kriegeskorte](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0285) N: Rapid invariant encoding of scene layout in human OPA. Neuron 2019, 103[:161-171.e3.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0285)
- 58. Persichetti AS, Dilks DD: Distinct [representations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0290) of spatial and categorical relationships across human [scene-selective](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0290) cortex. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 2019, 116[:21312-21317.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0290)
- 59. Nielson DM, Smith TA, [Sreekumar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0295) V, Dennis S, Sederberg PB: Human [hippocampus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0295) represents space and time during retrieval of [real-world](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0295) memories. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015, 112[:11078-11083.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0295)
- 60. Doeller CF, Barry C, Burgess N: [Evidence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0300) for grid cells in a<br>human memory network. Nature 2010, 463[:657-661.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0300)
- 61. Wellman HM, Cross D, Watson J: [Meta-analysis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0305) of theory-ofmind [development:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0305) the truth about false belief. Child Dev 2001, 72[:655-684.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0305)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>62. Liu S, Brooks NB, Spelke ES: Origins of the [concepts](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0310) cause, cost, and goal in [prereaching](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0310) infants. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 2019, 116[:17747-17752.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0310)
- 63. Sabbagh MA, Paulus M: **[Replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0315) studies of implicit false**<br>belief with infants and [toddlers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0315). Cogn Dev 2018, 46:1-3.
- 64. Baillargeon R, Buttelmann D, Southgate V: Invited [commentary:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0320) interpreting failed [replications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0320) of early false-belief findings: [methodological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0320) and theoretical considerations. Cogn Dev 2018, 46[:112-124.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0320)
- 65. Sodian B, [Kristen-Antonow](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0325) S, Kloo D: How does children's
- $\bullet$ theory of mind become explicit? A review of [longitudinal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0325) findings. Child Dev [Perspect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0325) 2020, 14:171-177

Reviews different accounts of theory of mind development and how longitudinal investigations inform each of them. Concludes that the evidence best points to a developmental enrichment account, a specific continuous account that posits a shift to explicit understanding with the emergence of social behaviors, while acknowledging the need for more data.

- 66. Butterfill SA, Apperly IA: **How to [construct](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0330) a minimal theory of mind**. *Mind Lang* 2013, **28**[:606-637.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0330)
- 67. Penn DC, Povinelli DJ: On the lack of evidence that [non-human](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0335) animals possess anything remotely [resembling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0335) a 'theory of mind'. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2007, 362[:731-744.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0335)
- 68. Bowman LC, [Dodell-Feder](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0340) D, Saxe R, Sabbagh MA: Continuity in the neural system [supporting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0340) children's theory of mind development: longitudinal links between [task-independent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0340) EEG and [task-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0340) fMRI. Dev Cogn Neurosci 2019, 40[:100705.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0340)
- 69. Richardson H, Lisandrelli G, [Riobueno-Naylor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0345) A, Saxe R:
- $\cdot$ [Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0345) of the social brain from age three to twelve years. Nat [Commun](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0345) 2018, 9:1027

Shows that the theory of mind and pain networks of adults are functionally distinct in children, and engaged while children watch a social movie. Finds increased similarity with adults over development, but also that these networks are similarly engaged in younger children who both do and do not pass an explicit false belief task.

- 70. Hein G, Knight RT: Superior temporal [sulcus-it's](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0350) my area: or is it? J Cogn Neurosci 2008, 20[:2125-2136.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0350)
- 71. [Wiesmann](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0355) CG, Friederici AD, Singer T, Steinbeis N: Two systems for thinking about others' thoughts in the [developing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0355) brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020, 117[:6928-6935.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0355)
- 72. [Wiesmann](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0360) CG, Friederici AD, Singer T, Steinbeis N: Implicit and explicit false belief [development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0360) in preschool children. Dev Sci 2017, 20[:e12445.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-1546(20)30166-2/sbref0360)